

Team ID: _____ Team Name: _____

Judge Name: _____

Flag #: _____ Brief project description: _____

(*) Judging Score

5: Strongly Agree	excellent, outstanding, advanced, exemplary, or amazing
4: Agree	good, accomplished, or proficient
3: Neutral	average, intermediate level, or acceptable
2: Somewhat Disagree	attempted but needs work
1: Disagree	little attempted or needs lots of help

1 ~ 5

Judging Category	Sub Categories	Weight	Score*
1. Artistic creativity	Students created a unique and artistically appealing robot float.	12%	
2. Technical creativity	Students applied unique technically creative and innovative elements to the robotics project.	13%	
3. Interactions	There were elements of wireless interaction between the robot and the team players using sensors or other communication technologies.	10%	
4. Robot performance	Robot reliably and successfully negotiated the official parade route. No human touch was required. Robot met all qualifying requirements.	20%	
5. Team work	Teamwork and team spirit were evident	10%	
6. Robot design	Robot mechanical design was creative, user-friendly, and sturdy. (If the majority of the robot hardware was made by others or purchased, give a score of 1)	15%	
	The project was complex with multiple features/functions and components.	10%	
7. Team independence	I believe the project was mostly designed, developed, and programmed by the students, not by adult coaches, parents, or mentors.	10%	

100%